

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1.3-1	Major Differences Between the Previous LNG Import Proposal in Docket No. CP07-444-000 and the Current Jordan Cove Export Terminal in Docket No. CP13-483-000	1-8
Table 1.1.3-2	Major Differences Between the Original Pipeline Project Proposed in Docket No. CP07-441-000 and the Current Pacific Connector Project Proposed in Docket No. CP13-492-000.....	1-11
Table 1.4.1-1	Major Permits, Approvals, and Consultations for the JCE & PCGP Project.....	1-24
Table 1.4.2.1-1	BLM and Forest Service Land Management Plan Consistency and Proposed Amendments.....	1-47
Table 1.5-1	Public and Interagency Meetings for the JCE & PCGP Project Attended In-Person by FERC Staff.....	1-61
Table 1.5-2	Topics Raised in Comments on the DEIS.....	1-62
Table 2.1.1.3-1	Piles Supporting the LNG Vessel Berth and Loading Platform	2-9
Table 2.1.1.3-2	Piles Supporting the Tug Boat Berth	2-11
Table 2.1.1.10-1	Support Buildings at the Jordan Cove LNG Terminal and Power Plant Complex.....	2-24
Table 2.1.1.11-1	Materials Excavated and Dredged During Construction of Terminal Marine Facilities	2-27
Table 2.1.2.2-1	Pacific Connector's Proposed Aboveground Facilities	2-33
Table 2.1.2.2-2	Proposed and Existing Gas Control Communication Towers.....	2-36
Table 2.1.3-1	BLM and Forest Service LMP Amendments Associated with the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project.....	2-39
Table 2.1.4-1	Summary of BLM and Forest Service Mitigation Projects by Mitigation Group and Project Type	2-54
Table 2.1.4.3-1	Mitigation Projects to Address LMP Amendments on BLM and NFS Lands	2-68
Table 2.1.7-1	Pacific Connector's POD Attachments	2-75
Table 2.1.8-1	Proposed Mitigation Plans.....	2-76
Table 2.3.1-1	Land Requirements for the Jordan Cove Liquefaction Project	2-84
Table 2.3.2-1	Land Requirements for the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project.....	2-86
Table 2.3.2.3-1	Land Administered by the BLM Affected by the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project	2-92
Table 2.3.2.3-2	Land Administered by the Forest Service Affected by the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project.....	2-92
Table 2.3.2.3-3	Land Administered by Reclamation Affected by the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project.....	2-93
Table 2.4.2.1-1	Subbasins and Fifth-Field Watershed Crossed by the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project.....	2-108
Table 2.4.2.1-2	Permanent Slope Breaker Spacing From Pacific Connector's ECRP ..	2-114
Table 2.5.2-1	Monitoring Requirements Associated with Pacific Connector's Plan of Development	2-125

Table 3.2.2.4-1.	Canadian Projects Under Consideration.....	3-11
Table 3.3.2.4-1	SORSC Alternatives Analysis.....	3-20
Table 3.4.2.1-1	Comparison of the Proposed Route with the 2009 FEIS Route and Brunschmid WRP Easement Avoidance Alternative 1	3-35
Table 3.4.2.2-1	Comparison of Pacific Connector's Proposed Route with the Blue Ridge Alternative	3-38
Table 3.4.2.3-1	Comparison of the Proposed Route with Weaver Ridge Alternative Routes.....	3-42
Table 3.4.2.4-1	Comparison of Camas Valley Alternatives with the Corresponding Segment of the Proposed Route	3-45
Table 3.4.2.5-1	Comparison of I-5 Alternative Routes to the Proposed Route.....	3-49
Table 3.4.2.6-1	Comparison of the May 2009 FERC FEIS Route Alternative With the Proposed Route Between MPs 81.2 and 82.5	3-51
Table 3.4.2.7-1	Comparison of Alternative Routes Across the Oregon Women's Land Trust Property.....	3-53
Table 3.4.2.8-1	Comparison of Umpqua National Forest Alternative Routes With the Corresponding Segment of the Proposed Route Between Neuman Gap and Long Prairie – MPs 105 to 111.....	3-58
Table 3.4.2.9-1	Comparison of Access Road Alternatives to Reach the East Side of Rogue River	3-61
Table 3.4.2.10-1	Comparison of Rogue River National Forest Alternative Routes with the Proposed Route from Robinson Butte to Cox Butte – MPs 155 to 169.....	3-64
Table 3.4.2.11-1a	Comparison of the PCT Alternative Route with the Proposed Route	3-68
Table 3.4.2.11-1b	Comparison of the Dead Indian Memorial Highway Alternative Route with the Proposed Route.....	3-69
Table 3.4.2.12-1	Comparison of the Keno Access Road and 2007 Clover Creek Road Alternative Routes With the Proposed Route.....	3-72
Table 3.4.2.13-1	Comparison of the Shasta View Irrigation District Alternative with the Proposed Route	3-75
Table 3.4.4-1	Minor Deviations Incorporated into the Proposed Pipeline Route	3-80
Table 4.1.1.1-1	Types of Land Uses Affected by Construction and Operation of the Jordan Cove Project.....	4-5
Table 4.1.1.3-1	Structures Within Two Miles of the Proposed Jordan Cove LNG Terminal	4-10
Table 4.1.2.1-1	Land Ownership Crossed by the Pacific Connector Pipeline	4-12
Table 4.1.2.2-1	Land Uses Crossed by the Pacific Connector Pipeline Right-of-Way	4-13
Table 4.1.2.2-2	Acres of Land Affected by Construction and Operation of the Pacific Connector Pipeline	4-14
Table 4.1.2.2-3	Acres Affected by Operation of Pacific Connector Proposed Aboveground Facilities	4-16
Table 4.1.2.2-4	County Zones Crossed by the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project.....	4-17
Table 4.1.2.3-1	Residences within 50 Feet of the Construction Right-of-Way or Temporary Extra Work Areas	4-18
Table 4.1.3.1-1	Federal Lands Affected by the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project.....	4-22

Table 4.1.3.1-2	Federal Lands Required for Construction and Operation of the Pacific Connector Pipeline by Land Use Type (acres)	4-23
Table 4.1.3.1-3	Land Management and Land Use Activities That Would be Prohibited or Restricted on the Proposed Pacific Connector Pipeline Construction and Operational Rights-of-Way.....	4-26
Table 4.1.3.2-1	Grazing Allotments on National Forest System Lands Crossed by the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project.....	4-28
Table 4.1.3.2-2	Grazing Allotments on BLM Lands Crossed by the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project.....	4-29
Table 4.1.3.3-1	O&C Lands, Coos Bay Wagon Road Lands, and Reserved Public Domain Lands Crossed by the Pacific Connector Pipeline (miles).....	4-31
Table 4.1.3.3-2	NWFP Land Allocations Crossed by the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project (miles)	4-33
Table 4.1.3.5-1a	Summary of Riparian Reserves, Stream Channels, and Wetlands Crossed by the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project on BLM and NFS Lands by Administrative Unit	4-70
Table 4.1.3.5-1b	Vegetation Age Class Structure of Riparian Reserves Cleared in Construction Corridor and TEWAs by Administrative Unit, BLM and Forest Service	4-71
Table 4.1.3.5-2a	BLM and Forest Service Land Allocations in Watersheds Crossed by the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project	4-80
Table 4.1.3.5-2b	Miles of Pacific Connector Pipeline Project Right-of-Way in Key Watersheds by Administrative Unit.....	4-85
Table 4.1.3.5-3	Compliance of the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project with ACS Objectives, Coos Bay Frontal Watershed	4-91
Table 4.1.3.5-4	Compliance of the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project with ACS Objectives, Coquille River Watershed	4-93
Table 4.1.3.5-5	Compliance of the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project with ACS Objectives, North Fork Coquille River Watershed.....	4-94
Table 4.1.3.5-6	Proposed Off-site Mitigation Projects for North Fork Coquille River Watershed.....	4-97
Table 4.1.3.5-7	Compliance of Pacific Connector Pipeline Project with ACS.....	4-98
Table 4.1.3.5-8	Proposed Off-site Mitigation Measures in the East Fork Coquille River Watershed	4-100
Table 4.1.3.5-9	Compliance of the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project with ACS Objectives, Middle Fork Coquille River Watershed	4-102
Table 4.1.3.5-10	Proposed Off-site Mitigation Measures in the Middle Fork Coquille River Watershed	4-104
Table 4.1.3.5-11	Compliance of the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project with ACS Objectives, Olalla Creek–Lookingglass Creek Watershed	4-106
Table 4.1.3.5-12	Proposed Off-site Mitigation Projects for the Olalla-Lookingglass Watershed.....	4-107
Table 4.1.3.5-13	Compliance of the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project with ACS Objectives, Clark Branch-South Umpqua River Watershed	4-108
Table 4.1.3.5-14	Proposed Off-site Mitigation Projects for Clark Branch-South Umpqua Watershed	4-109

Table 4.1.3.5-15	Compliance of the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project with ACS Objectives, Myrtle Creek Watershed	4-110
Table 4.1.3.5-16	Proposed Off-site Mitigation Projects for Myrtle Creek Watershed	4-111
Table 4.1.3.5-17	Compliance of the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project with ACS Objectives, Days Creek–South Umpqua River Watershed	4-112
Table 4.1.3.5-18	Proposed Off-site Mitigation Projects for Days Creek–South Umpqua Watershed	4-115
Table 4.1.3.5-19	Compliance of the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project with ACS Objectives, Elk Creek–South Umpqua Watershed.....	4-118
Table 4.1.3.5-20	Proposed Off-site Mitigation Projects for Elk Creek–South Umpqua Watershed.....	4-120
Table 4.1.3.5-21	Compliance of the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project with ACS Objectives, Upper Cow Creek Watershed	4-121
Table 4.1.3.5-22	Off-site Mitigations in the Upper Cow Creek Watershed	4-125
Table 4.1.3.5-23	Compliance of the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project with ACS Objectives, Trail Creek Watershed	4-127
Table 4.1.3.5-24	Off-site Mitigations on BLM and NFS Lands in the Trail Creek Watershed.....	4-130
Table 4.1.3.5-25	Compliance of the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project with ACS Objectives, Shady Cove–Rogue River Watershed.....	4-132
Table 4.1.3.5-26	Proposed Off-site Mitigations on BLM Lands in the Shady Cove–Rogue River Watershed	4-135
Table 4.1.3.5-27	Compliance of the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project with ACS Objectives, Big Butte Creek Watershed.....	4-136
Table 4.1.3.5-28	Proposed Off-site Mitigations on BLM Lands in the Big Butte Creek Watershed.....	4-138
Table 4.1.3.5-29	Compliance of the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project with ACS Objectives, Little Butte Creek	4-140
Table 4.1.3.5-30	Proposed Mitigation Measures on BLM and NFS Lands in the Little Butte Creek Watershed	4-144
Table 4.1.3.5-31	Compliance of the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project with ACS Objectives, Spencer Creek Watershed.....	4-148
Table 4.1.3.5-32	Proposed Off-Site Mitigation Projects on BLM and NFS Lands in the Spencer Creek Watershed	4-151
Table 4.1.3.6-1	Summary of Total LSR Acres Directly and Indirectly Affected by the Pacific Connector Pipeline.....	4-161
Table 4.1.3.6-2	Comparison of Total LSR Acres Cleared by the Pacific Connector Pipeline and the Acres of Matrix Reallocated to LSR	4-165
Table 4.1.3.6-3	Known Occupied MAMU Stands within the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project Area in the Coos Bay District	4-166
Table 4.1.3.6-4	Comparison of Total Pacific Connector Pipeline Project Impacts on MAMU Stands and Matrix Reallocated to LSR (acres) in Coos Bay District.....	4-168
Table 4.1.3.6-5	Comparison of Total Pacific Connector Pipeline Project LSR Impacts and Acres of Matrix Reallocated to LSR, Within BLM Coos Bay District LSR 261	4-171

Table 4.1.3.6-6	Comparison of the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project Total Impacts on LSRs and Matrix Reallocated to LSR (acres), Within BLM Coos Bay District.....	4-173
Table 4.1.3.6-7	Known Occupied Marbled Murrelet Stands in the Roseburg District within the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project Area	4-176
Table 4.1.3.6-8	Comparison of Total Acres of Occupied Marbled Stands Impacted by the Pacific Connector Pipeline and Acres of Matrix to LSR Reallocation	4-178
Table 4.1.3.6-9	Summary of Total KOAC Acres Affected by the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project in the BLM Roseburg District	4-183
Table 4.1.3.6-10	Comparison of the Total LSR Acres Affected by the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project and Matrix Reallocated to LSR, Roseburg District LSR 261	4-186
Table 4.1.3.6-11	Summary of Total LSR 223 Acres Affected by the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project in the BLM Roseburg District and Acres of Matrix Reallocated to LSR	4-189
Table 4.1.3.6-12	Comparison of Total LSR Acres Affected by the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project and Acres of Matrix Reallocated to LSR, BLM Roseburg District.....	4-190
Table 4.1.3.6-13	Comparison of LSR 223 Acres Affected by the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project and Acres of Matrix Reallocated to LSR, Umpqua National Forest.....	4-195
Table 4.1.3.6-14	Stand-Density Management Activities in LSR 223 and Matrix	4-199
Table 4.1.3.6-15	Comparison of Total Pacific Connector Pipeline Project Impacts on LSR 223 and Estimated Edge Reduction Effect of Proposed Off-site Mitigation Actions (Acres).....	4-202
Table 4.1.3.6-16	Comparison of Total LSR Acres Affected by the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project and Acres of Matrix Reallocated to LSR, Rogue River National Forest LSR 227	4-205
Table 4.1.3.6-17	Reductions in Road Density Within 0.25, 0.5, and 1 mile of the Pacific Connector Pipeline Corridor.....	4-210
Table 4.1.3.7-1	Summary of Proposed Site-Specific Amendments of BLM and Forest Service LMPs for the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project.....	4-214
Table 4.1.3.7-2	Summary of Proposed Land Allocation Amendments of BLM and Forest Service LMPs for the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project.....	4-215
Table 4.1.3.7-3	Summary of Proposed Amendments to the Coos Bay District LMP	4-216
Table 4.1.3.7-4	Summary of Proposed Amendments to the Roseburg District LMP	4-217
Table 4.1.3.7-5	Summary of Proposed Amendments to the Umpqua National Forest LRMP	4-218
Table 4.1.3.7-6	Summary of Proposed Amendments for the Rogue River National Forest LRMP	4-219
Table 4.1.3.7-7	Summary of Proposed Amendments to the Winema National Forest LRMP	4-220
Table 4.1.3.7-8	Comparison of Total LSR 261 Acres Affected by the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project and Acres of Matrix Reallocated to LSR	4-221

Table 4.1.3.7-9	Comparison of Total LSR 223 Acres Affected by the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project and Acres of Matrix Reallocated to LSR	4-224
Table 4.1.3.7-10	Summary of Proposed BLM and Forest Service LMP Amendments for LSR.....	4-227
Table 4.1.3.7-11	Summary of the Total LSR Acres Affected Directly and Indirectly by the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project and Total Acres of Matrix Reallocated to LSR	4-227
Table 4.1.3.7-12	Comparison of Total LSR Acres by Habitat Type Affected by the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project and Total Acres of Matrix Reallocated to LSR	4-229
Table 4.1.3.7-13	Summary of Proposed Off-site Mitigation Actions for LSR Impacts on BLM and NFS Lands	4-230
Table 4.1.3.7-14	Comparison of Total Pacific Connector Pipeline Project Impacts on LSRs 223 and 227 and Estimated Edge Reduction Effect of Proposed Off-site Mitigation Actions on Forest Service Lands (Acres)	4-231
Table 4.1.3.7-15	Comparison of Total Pacific Connector Pipeline Project Effects on LSOG Habitat in LSRs and LSOG Habitat in Matrix Reallocated to LSR by Province (Acres)	4-235
Table 4.1.3.7-16	Summary of Proposed Off-site Mitigation Actions for LSR Impacts on BLM and Forest Service Lands by Province	4-236
Table 4.1.3.7-17	Comparison of Total LSOG Habitat Acres in Occupied Marbled Murrelet Stands Impacted by the Pacific Connector Gas Pipeline Project and Acres of LSOG Habitat in the Matrix to LSR Reallocation by Province	4-239
Table 4.1.3.7-18	Summary of Miles of Riparian Reserves Impacted by the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project and Proposed Off-site Mitigation Actions in Riparian Reserves on BLM and Forest Service Lands.....	4-241
Table 4.1.3.8-1	Connectivity/Diversity Blocks Crossed by the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project, Coos Bay and Roseburg Districts, BLM	4-243
Table 4.2.1.4-1	Summary of Geotechnical Investigations for the Jordan Cove Project.....	4-253
Table 4.2.1.4-2	Cut/Fill Quantities	4-255
Table 4.2.2.2-1	Historical Earthquakes within 100 Miles of the Proposed Pacific Connector Pipeline	4-262
Table 4.2.2.2-2	Summary of Potential Liquefaction and Lateral Spreading Hazards	4-266
Table 4.2.2.2-3	Summary of Scour Results using HEC-RAS.....	4-276
Table 4.2.2.4-1	Rock Source and/or Permanent Disposal Sites	4-283
Table 4.2.2.5-1	Summary of Blasting Potential Along the Proposed Pacific Connector Pipeline	4-286
Table 4.3.1.2-1	Acres of Impacts at the Jordan Cove LNG Terminal, by Soil Type	4-297
Table 4.3.2-1	Soil Associations Crossed by the Pacific Connector Pipeline	4-310
Table 4.3.2-2	Acreages and Soil Characteristics Crossed by the Pacific Connector Pipeline	4-313
Table 4.3.2-3	Summary of Soils Limitations – Pacific Connector Pipeline Aboveground Facilities	4-315

Table 4.3.2.1-1	Areas Where Topsoil Would be Salvaged Along the Pacific Connector Pipeline	4-317
Table 4.3.2.3-1	Contractor and Pipe Storage Yards with Sensitive Soil Characteristics (Pastures, Fields and Vacant Lots)	4-328
Table 4.3.2.3-2	Identified Cleanup Sites Along the Pacific Connector Pipeline	4-330
Table 4.3.3.1-1	Location Risk-Sensitivity Matrix between Risk Class and Sensitivity to Disturbance, where Values range from 1 (very low), 2 (low), 3 (moderate), 4 (high), and 5 (very high)	4-333
Table 4.3.3.1-2	Summary of Risk and Sensitivity Ratings by Construction Feature (acres).....	4-333
Table 4.3.3.1-3	Risk/Sensitivity Ratings by Administrative Unit and Watershed (Acres)	4-333
Table 4.3.3.2-1	Mitigation Projects to Address LMP Amendments on BLM and NFS Lands	4-341
Table 4.3.3.3-1	Thresholds for Detrimental Soil Conditions on NFS Lands	4-342
Table 4.3.3.4-1	Risk/Sensitivity Ratings by Administrative Unit by Watershed (Acres)	4-346
Table 4.4.1.1-1	Water Usage from CBNBWB Sources for Jordan Cove Facilities	4-350
Table 4.4.1.2-1	Wellhead Protection Areas Crossed by the Pacific Connector Pipeline	4-354
Table 4.4.1.2-2	Private Wells in Klamath County Within 150 Feet of the Pacific Connector Construction Work Area	4-355
Table 4.4.2.1-1	Wastewater Generated from Construction Areas and Operational Facilities	4-366
Table 4.4.2.2-1	Subbasins and Fifth-Field Watershed Crossed by Proposed Pacific Connector Pipeline	4-374
Table 4.4.2.2-2	Designated Beneficial Uses for Basins Crossed by the Proposed Pacific Connector Pipeline.....	4-375
Table 4.4.2.2-3	ODEQ Water Quality Limited Streams Crossed by the Pacific Connector Pipeline	4-377
Table 4.4.2.2-4	Surface Water Public DWSAs Crossed by the Proposed Pacific Connector Pipeline	4-381
Table 4.4.2.2-5	Public Surface Water Drinking Water Intakes within 3 Miles Downstream of Proposed Waterbody Crossings for the Pacific Connector Pipeline	4-382
Table 4.4.2.2-6	Points of Diversion within 150 feet of Pacific Connector Construction Work Area	4-383
Table 4.4.2.2-7	Floodplain Areas Crossed by the Proposed Pacific Connector Pipeline	4-386
Table 4.4.2.2-8	Streams Crossed by the Pacific Connector Pipeline Route That Have a High Potential For Scour or Migration.....	4-400
Table 4.4.2.2-9	Potential Dust Control Water Sources for the Pacific Connector Pipeline	4-401
Table 4.4.2.2-10	Potential Hydrostatic Source Locations	4-402

Table 4.4.2.2-11	Predicted Temperature Modeling at Selected Stream Crossings Along the Pacific Connector Pipeline Route	4-406
Table 4.4.2.2-12	New Temporary and Permanent Access Roads Located within 100 feet of Waterbodies	4-410
Table 4.4.3.1-1	Wetlands Delineated on the LNG Project Site	4-415
Table 4.4.3.2-1	Summary of Wetland Impacts along the Pacific Connector Pipeline	4-420
Table 4.4.4.2-1	Fifth-Field Watersheds Crossed by the Pacific Connector Pipeline on Federal Lands	4-425
Table 4.4.4.2-2	Key Watersheds Crossed by the Proposed Pacific Connector Pipeline	4-427
Table 4.5.1.1-1	Impact on Vegetation Type from the Proposed Jordan Cove Facilities	4-442
Table 4.5.1.1-2	Potential for Species on the Coos County Noxious Weed List 2011- 2012 to Occur at the Jordan Cove Project Site	4-444
Table 4.5.1.2-1	Vegetation Cover Types Crossed by the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project	4-456
Table 4.5.1.2-2	Summary of Construction-Related Disturbance to Vegetation by the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project (acres)	4-457
Table 4.5.1.2-3	Summary of Operation-Related Disturbance to Vegetation by the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project	4-460
Table 4.5.1.2-4	Oregon Target Weeds (Class T) Suspected within or Near the Proposed Pacific Connector Pipeline Work Area	4-468
Table 4.5.1.2-5	Summary of Noxious Weeds found Along the Pacific Connector Pipeline Route during Surveys	4-469
Table 4.5.1.2-6	Summary of Known Infestations of Insect Parasites and Tree Diseases Along the Pacific Connector Pipeline Route	4-475
Table 4.5.1.2-7	Existing Patch Characteristics of Different Coniferous and Mixed Forest Seral Age Classes Crossed by the Pipeline	4-477
Table 4.5.1.2-8	Direct and Indirect Effects to Interior Forests from Construction of the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project	4-479
Table 4.5.1.2-9	Historic Average Fire Frequency and Extent of Acreage Burned in Watersheds Crossed by the Proposed Pacific Connector Pipeline	4-482
Table 4.5.2.2-1	Merchantable Timber to be Cleared for the Proposed Pacific Connector Pipeline, by Class and Age	4-490
Table 4.5.2.3-1	Forest Stand Type and Net Volume (MBF) on BLM and NFS Lands ...	4-496
Table 4.6.1.1-1	Acres of ODFW Habitat Affected by the Construction and Operation of the Project	4-500
Table 4.6.1.1-2	Proposed Mitigation Parcels, Vegetation Types, and Acres of Habitat Categories To Be Preserved	4-506
Table 4.6.1.1-3	Birds of Conservation Concern in the Project Area, Timing of Potential Occurrence, and Expected Habitat	4-507
Table 4.6.1.2-1	Wildlife Habitat Types Crossed by the Pacific Connector Pipeline and Wildlife Species Associated with Habitats	4-516
Table 4.6.1.2-2	Summary of Construction-Related Disturbance (acres) to Corresponding Habitat Type	4-519

Table 4.6.1.2-3	Summary of Operation-Related Disturbance to Habitat by the Proposed Pacific Connector Pipeline (acres).....	4-521
Table 4.6.1.2-4	Summary of ODFW Habitat Categories and Impact (Acres) from the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project within Non-Federal and Federal Lands	4-523
Table 4.6.1.2-5	Non-Special Status Bat Species and Associated Habitats Likely to Occur Within the Project Area	4-525
Table 4.6.1.2-6	Birds of Conservation Concern in BCR-5 and BCR-9 that Have Been Observed on BBS Routes within 50 Miles of the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project with Regional and Local Population Trends, and Breeding Dates, if Known	4-527
Table 4.6.1.2-7	Numbers of Migratory Birds Potentially Nesting within Habitats Affected along the Pipeline Centerline in BCR 5 and BCR 9	4-530
Table 4.6.1.2-8	FWS Recommended Spatial Buffers Surrounding Raptor Nests of Species that May Occur in the Vicinity of the Pacific Connector Pipeline	4-532
Table 4.6.1.2-9	Designated Big Game Winter Range Crossed by the Pacific Connector Pipeline	4-535
Table 4.6.1.2-10	Acres of Habitat Types Affected within Big Game Winter Ranges by Construction and Operation of the Pacific Connector Pipeline by Landowner	4-537
Table 4.6.1.2-11	Common Sound Levels for Equipment/Activities Potentially Associated with the Pacific Connector Pipeline	4-545
Table 4.6.1.3-1	Acres of Construction-Related Disturbance to Wildlife Habitat Types by the Pacific Connector Pipeline on Federal Land, and Wildlife Species Associated with Johnson and O'Neal (2001) Habitats.....	4-550
Table 4.6.1.3-2	Snag Density Estimates on NFS Lands.....	4-553
Table 4.6.2.1-1	Potential Impacts to EFH due to LNG Vessel Traffic Along the Transit Route.....	4-570
Table 4.6.2.2-1	Taxa Groups Collected in Coos Bay Near the Proposed Jordan Cove Terminal During 2009–2011	4-571
Table 4.6.2.2-2	Estuarine Habitat Affected from Construction of Jordan Cove LNG Facilities	4-573
Table 4.6.2.2-3	Comparison of Relative Loss of Larval Invertebrates and Larval Fish from Entrainment to Natural Mortality During Cooling Water Intake for One LNG Vessel Docked at the Jordan Cove Terminal.....	4-585
Table 4.6.2.2-4	Selected Survival Values by Life Stage of Marine Species That May Be Entrained or Impinged.....	4-586
Table 4.6.2.2-5	Potential Impacts to EFH due to LNG Terminal Construction and Operations	4-591
Table 4.6.2.3-1	Status Rating of Fish Populations by Major Subbasins Areas Crossed by the Proposed Pipeline	4-597
Table 4.6.2.3-2	Pacific Connector Pipeline Approximate Associated Construction Disturbance and Aboveground Facilities and Their Potential Effects to Aquatic Resources	4-600

Table 4.6.2.3-3	Areas of Subtidal and Intertidal Habitats within the Coos Bay Estuary Directly Affected by Construction of the Pacific Connector Pipeline	4-601
Table 4.6.2.3-4	Number of Streams and Ponds (excluding Haynes Inlet) Crossed or Adjacent to the Pacific Connector Pipeline, by Fish Status Category and Fifth-Field Watershed	4-606
Table 4.6.2.3-5	Proposed Waterbody Crossing Methods for All Waterbody Crossings (excluding Haynes Inlet), by Subbasins and Fifth-Field Watersheds	4-608
Table 4.6.2.3-6	Total Riparian Area (acres within one site-potential tree height distance) Disturbed by Construction Activities Adjacent to Perennial and Intermittent Waterbodies Crossed/Near by the Pacific Connector Pipeline	4-624
Table 4.6.2.3-7	Potential Impacts to EFH due to Pipeline Construction and Operation	4-635
Table 4.6.2.4-1	Number of Streams Crossed by the Pacific Connector Pipeline Route on Federal Lands by Fish Status Category within Each Fifth-Field Watershed Coinciding with the Pacific Connector Project	4-637
Table 4.7.1-1	Federally Listed and Proposed Species Potentially Occurring in the Proposed Project Area	4-642
Table 4.7.2-1	State-Listed Species Potentially Occurring in the Proposed Project Area	4-684
Table 4.7.4.1-1	Numbers of BLM and Forest Service Sensitive Species within the Four BLM Districts and Three National Forests Crossed by the Proposed Pacific Connector Pipeline	4-696
Table 4.7.4.1-2	BLM and Forest Service Sensitive Species with the Potential to be Affected by the Project	4-697
Table 4.7.4.3-1	Regional Site Count of Fungi Species Potentially Affected by the Project	4-707
Table 4.7.4.3-2	Fungi Sites Potentially Affected by the Project	4-710
Table 4.7.4.3-3	Affected Fungi Sites by BLM District and National Forest	4-716
Table 4.7.4.3-4	Regional Site Count of Lichen Species Potentially Affected by the Project	4-718
Table 4.7.4.3-5	Lichen Sites Potentially Affected by the Project	4-720
Table 4.7.4.3-6	Affected Lichen Sites by BLM District and National Forest	4-721
Table 4.7.4.3-7	Regional Site Count of Plant Species Potentially Affected by the Project	4-724
Table 4.7.4.3-8	Vascular Plant Sites Potentially Affected by Project	4-725
Table 4.7.4.3-9	Affected Vascular Plant Sites by BLM District and National Forest	4-726
Table 4.7.4.3-10	Regional Site Count of Mollusk Species Potentially Affected by the Project	4-727
Table 4.7.4.3-11	Mollusk Sites Potentially Affected by the Project	4-727
Table 4.7.4.3-12	Affected Mollusk Sites by BLM District and National Forest	4-728
Table 4.7.4.3-13	Regional Site Count of Vertebrate Species Potentially Affected by the Project	4-729

Table 4.7.4.3-14	Vertebrate Sites Potentially Affected by the Project.....	4-730
Table 4.7.4.3-15	Affected Vertebrate Sites by BLM District and National Forest	4-731
Table 4.8.2.3-1	Crosswalk Between Visual Quality Objectives, Scenic Integrity Objectives, and Scenic Integrity Levels	4-808
Table 4.8.2.3-2	BLM Visual Resource Management Classes.....	4-809
Table 4.8.2.3-3	Sensitive Viewsheds on Federal Lands and Proposed Mitigation Measures	4-810
Table 4.9.1.1-1	Population by State, County, and Community	4-815
Table 4.9.1.1-2	Shifts Operating the Jordan Cove LNG Terminal and South Dune Power Plant.....	4-817
Table 4.9.1.2-1	Jordan Cove Project Area Housing Units, 2010.....	4-818
Table 4.9.1.4-1	Existing Economic Conditions for the Region	4-821
Table 4.9.1.4-2	Regional Economic Impacts of Construction of the Jordan Cove and Pacific Connector Projects in Oregon and Washington	4-823
Table 4.9.1.9-1	Race and Ethnicity in Coos County, Oregon, 2010.....	4-834
Table 4.9.1.9-2	Vulnerable Populations in Coos County, Oregon.....	4-834
Table 4.9.2.1-1	Population by State and County	4-837
Table 4.9.2.2-1	Housing Units in the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project Area, 2010	4-838
Table 4.9.2.2-2	Pacific Connector Pipeline Project Area Rental Housing, Motel Rooms, and RV Hookups	4-840
Table 4.9.2.4-1	Employment and Labor Statistics for the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project Area	4-843
Table 4.9.2.4-2	Pacific Connector Pipeline Project Area Employment by Economic Sector, 2011	4-844
Table 4.9.2.4-3	Components of Per Capita Income for the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project Area, 2011	4-845
Table 4.9.2.4-4	Economic Impacts of Pacific Connector Pipeline Construction	4-846
Table 4.9.2.5-1	2011 Tax Revenues for the Counties Crossed by the Pacific Connector Pipeline	4-847
Table 4.9.2.5-2	Estimated Tax Revenues from Construction of the Pacific Connector Pipeline	4-847
Table 4.9.2.5-3	Estimated Annual Tax Revenues from Operation of the Pacific Connector Pipeline	4-848
Table 4.9.2.5-4	Estimated Annual Property Tax Revenues in the Counties Crossed by the Pacific Connector Pipeline	4-848
Table 4.9.2.6-1	Law Enforcement and Fire Protection Resources in the Counties Crossed by the Pacific Connector Pipeline	4-849
Table 4.9.2.6-2	Hospitals in the Counties Crossed by the Pacific Connector Pipeline ...	4-850
Table 4.9.2.6-3	Number of School Districts and Student Enrollment in the Counties Crossed by the Pacific Connector Pipeline 2011-2012 School Year	4-851
Table 4.9.2.6-4	Utilities Crossed by the Pipeline by County	4-852
Table 4.9.2.7-1	Travel Spending, Earnings, and Employment, 2012	4-856
Table 4.9.2.9-1	Race and Ethnicity in Counties Crossed by the Pacific Connector Pipeline, 2010	4-859

Table 4.9.2.9-2	Low Income and Vulnerable Populations in the Counties Crossed by the Pacific Connector Pipeline.....	4-860
Table 4.9.3.1-1	Financial Efficiency Analysis of the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project.....	4-863
Table 4.10.1.2-1	Peak Hour Traffic Volumes at Four Key Intersections Near the Jordan Cove Project Site.....	4-870
Table 4.10.2.1-1	Proposed New Temporary and Permanent Construction Access Roads.....	4-879
Table 4.10.2.6-1	Total Miles of Existing Roads Proposed for Use Within Federal Lands	4-883
Table 4.11.1.1-1	Jordan Cove's Communications to and from the SHPO Since 2009....	4-888
Table 4.11.1.1-2	Pacific Connector's Communications to and from the SHPO Since 2009.....	4-888
Table 4.11.1.2-1	Indian Tribes and Native American Organizations Contacted by the FERC for Dockets No. CP13-483-000 and CP13-492-000	4-891
Table 4.11.1.2-2	Communications Between the Applicants and Indian Tribes Since 2009 Regarding Docket Nos. CP13-483-000 and CP-492-000.....	4-894
Table 4.11.1.3-1	Public and Non-Governmental Organization Scoping Comments on Cultural Resources Issues.....	4-898
Table 4.11.1.3-2	Communications Between Pacific Connector and Federal Land Managing Agencies Since 2009	4-899
Table 4.11.3.1-1	Cultural Resources Surveys of Jordan Cove's Proposed Facilities.....	4-902
Table 4.11.3.2-1	Previously Recorded Sites Within the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project APE.....	4-903
Table 4.11.3.2-2	NRHP-Eligible and Unevaluated Sites On Non-Federal Lands That May Be Affected by the Pacific Connector Pipeline Project and Require Additional Investigations	4-906
Table 4.11.3.3-1	Archaeological Sites On Federal Lands Within The Pacific Connector Pipeline Project APE	4-907
Table 4.12.1.1-1	Criteria Pollutants, National Ambient Air Quality Standards and Existing Air Quality Near Terminal.....	4-913
Table 4.12.1.1-2	PSD Baseline Dates and Increments for Criteria Pollutants.....	4-915
Table 4.12.1.1-3	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Pile Driving and Concrete Batch Plant (tons).....	4-919
Table 4.12.1.1-4	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Other Terminal Construction Activities, By Year (tons)	4-919
Table 4.12.1.1-5	Criteria Pollutant Emissions During Operation of the LNG Terminal (tons per year).....	4-921
Table 4.12.1.1-6	Maximum Combined Impacts of Terminal, Power Plant, Marine Vessels, and Nearby Major Sources	4-922
Table 4.12.1.2-1	Existing Air Quality Near Proposed Compressor Station	4-923
Table 4.12.1.2-2	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Compressor Station and Pipeline Construction (tons).....	4-927
Table 4.12.1.2-3	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Operation of Compressor Station and Pipeline (tons per year)	4-928

Table 4.12.1.2-4	Screening-Level CO and NO ₂ Impacts from Compressor Station Turbines	4-928
Table 4.12.1.3-1	Maximum Impacts of Terminal and Power Plant at a Distance of 50 km	4-929
Table 4.12.1.4-1	Emissions of Greenhouse Gases from Construction	4-934
Table 4.12.1.4-2	Emissions of Greenhouse Gases from Operation.....	4-934
Table 4.12.2.2-1	Oregon Noise Limits For Industrial and Commercial Noise Sources.....	4-937
Table 4.12.2.2-2	Octave Band Noise Limits For Industrial and Commercial Noise Sources.....	4-938
Table 4.12.2.4-1	Ambient Noise Levels for the Jordan Cove LNG Terminal Measured at Nearby NSAs	4-939
Table 4.12.2.4-2	Construction Equipment Noise Emission Levels for Pile Installation and Dredging.....	4-944
Table 4.12.2.4-3	Predicted Construction Noise Impacts of Jordan Cove LNG Terminal	4-944
Table 4.12.2.4-4	Predicted Project Noise Emissions at NSAs compared to Regulatory Limit for Jordan Cove LNG Terminal	4-946
Table 4.12.2.4-5	Summary of Typical Non-HDD Pipeline Construction Noise Levels (L _{eq})	4-949
Table 4.12.2.4-6	Summary of L _{dn} Levels, HDD Drilling from North Side of the Coos River	4-951
Table 4.12.2.4-7	Summary of L _{dn} Levels, HDD Drilling from West Side of the Rogue River	4-953
Table 4.12.2.4-8	Summary of L _{dn} Levels, HDD Drilling from East Side of the Rogue River	4-953
Table 4.12.2.4-9	Summary of L _{dn} Levels, HDD Drilling from West Side of the Klamath River	4-954
Table 4.12.2.4-10	Summary of L _{dn} Levels, HDD Drilling from East Side of the Klamath River	4-954
Table 4.12.2.4-11	Summary of L _{dn} Levels, DP Drilling from East side of the South Umpqua River	4-955
Table 4.12.2.4-12	Predicted Operational Noise Impacts of the Klamath Compressor Station.....	4-957
Table 4.13.2.1-1	Toxicity Levels (in ppm) ,	4-967
Table 4.13.2.1-2	Flammable Properties	4-968
Table 4.13.5.1-1	Impoundment Area Sizing	4-992
Table 4.13.5.3-1	LNG Design Spills	4-996
Table 4.13.5.3-2	Other Hazardous Fluid Design Spills.....	4-1007
Table 4.13.5.4-1	Distance (in feet) to the ½-AEGL 1.....	4-1018
Table 4.13.5.5-1	Thermal Radiation Exclusion Zone for Impoundment Basins.....	4-1020
Table 4.13.9.1-1	DOT Class Locations for the Proposed Pacific Connector Pipeline	4-1038
Table 4.13.9.1-2	DOT Class 3 Locations and High Consequence Areas Crossed by and Adjacent to the Proposed Pacific Connector Pipeline	4-1040

Table 4.13.9.2-1	Natural Gas Transmission Pipeline Serious Incidents by Cause (1994–2013)	4-1044
Table 4.13.9.2-2	Outside Forces Incidents by Cause (1994-2013)	4-1045
Table 4.13.9.3-1	Injuries and Fatalities – Natural Gas Transmission Pipelines	4-1046
Table 4.13.9.3-2	Accidental Deaths by Cause	4-1047
Table 4.14.2.3-1	Recent, Current, or Proposed Actions That May Cumulatively Affect Resources	4-1054
Table 4.14.3-1	Cumulative Acres Impacted by Watershed by the Project, Related Mitigation Projects, and Other Projects	4-1070